

MOTION NO. 6175

1
2 A MOTION relating to solid waste disposal
3 establishing County Council policy about future
4 efforts to explore and implement energy recovery
5 from incinerating solid waste (energy/resource
6 recovery), making conclusions and directing further
7 work to expedite energy/resource recovery in King
8 County.

9 WHEREAS, King County has recently completed Phase II, Stage I
10 of a study with the city of Seattle for implementing
11 Energy/Resource Recovery (E/RR) as a solid waste disposal
12 alternative in King County, and

13 WHEREAS, E/RR as a disposal alternative to continued
14 landfilling is likely to be more expensive by at least \$175
15 million over a 20-year facility life, and

16 WHEREAS, energy contract commitments and vendor financing
17 arrangements are the most significant aspects of ERR economics,
18 and need to be better defined and conclusive, and

19 WHEREAS, previous studies did not access relative economics
20 of small versus very large facilities, and

21 WHEREAS, additional costs to burn solid waste might be
22 justified by other public benefits such as reduced negative
23 environmental impacts, increased landfill life, and mitigation of
24 any possible negative impacts on citizens living near Cedar Hills
25 and other landfills, and

26 WHEREAS, small, dispersed ERR facilities offer advantages of
27 decreased transportation cost, shared siting burdens, and a
28 reduced financing and investment risk, and

29 WHEREAS, the King County Executive has proposed a Phase II
30 Stage 2 Work Scope to further study E/RR as a part of King
31 County's Solid Waste Management Program, and

32 WHEREAS, the council wishes to provide direction and focus in
33 the development of the executive workscope necessary to implement
E/RR, and

1 WHEREAS, general environmental advantages to the process of
2 E/RR have not been ascertained by the EPA and are beyond the
3 ability of King County resources to determine, and

4 WHEREAS, a small pilot E/RR facility would provide operating
5 experience to document economics, demonstrate environmental
6 consequences and develop residue disposal options, and

7 WHEREAS, a small pilot E/RR facility can likely be designed
8 and built within a shorter time frame, and

9 WHEREAS, a small pilot E/RR facility will not preclude
10 subsequent location and sizing of small or larger scale potential
11 future E/RR facilities, and

12 WHEREAS, environmental considerations are specific to the
13 facility site selected and the environmental impact at Cedar
14 Hills will be studied in the Cedar Hills site development plan;

15 WHEREAS, the City of Seattle has decided to proceed with a
16 City ER/R facility and the County is cooperating in the analysis
17 and environmental impact assessment of that project;

18 WHEREAS, Substitute House Bill 1164 lists state priorities
19 for solid waste management with the preference order beginning
20 with waste reduction followed by recycling, ER/R or incineration,
21 and then landfilling, and

22 WHEREAS, Council motion 6047 adopted waste reduction and
23 recycling as the top priorities of the King County solid waste
24 management system;

25 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

26 A. Phase II - Stage II study efforts shall be directed
27 toward both small scale short term and full scale E/RR options.

28 B. Short-term small scale E/RR options shall include the
29 following and shall be completed no later than August 1, 1985:
30
31
32
33

1 1. Economic evaluation and review of the most feasible site(s) for a
2 small scale (approximately 100-250 Tons Per Day) E/RR facility; site evaluation,
3 at a minimum, should include all transfer station and landfill sites;

4 2. Evaluation of potential sites should include transportation economics,
5 zoning, and environmental considerations;

6 3. Development of a request for proposals which would be sufficient for
7 solicitation of vendor proposals based upon acceptable site(s) where a vendor
8 would build and operate the facility with county delivery of solid waste and
9 transport and handling of residue of fixed price fee arrangements. The price
10 proposal would be the total of a solid waste acceptance price and a residue
11 disposal fee.

12 C. Full scale E/RR options (500 to 2,000 tons per day) shall include:

13 1. Further exploration and confirmation of markets, energy prices,
14 technology, and specific financing structures to confirm general E/RR
15 economics.

16 2. Further exploration of the impacts, costs, and consequences of
17 continued landfilling versus E/RR scenarios.

18 D. The executive shall develop a work plan to accomplish B, and C
19 and resubmit it for council review and approval.

20 PASSED this 7th day of January, 1985.

21 KING COUNTY COUNCIL
22 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

23 *Gary Grant*
24 Chairman

25 ATTEST:

26 *Dorothy M. Owens*
27 Clerk of the Council