Introduced by:

Proposed No.:

CHRISTOPHER VANCE

94 - 77

ordinance no. 11620

AN ORDINANCE relating to comprehen planning and zoning; adopting and amending Title 20 to be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan in accordance with the Washington State Growth Management Act, as amended; amending Ordinance 263, Article 2, Section 3 and K.C.C. 20.12.030; amending Ordinance 263, Article 3, Section 1, and K.C.C. 20.16.020; amending Ordinance 263, Article 3, Section 3, and K.C.C. 20.16.080; amending Ordinance 4461, Section 1, and K.C.C. 20.24.070; amending Ordinance 4461, Section 2, and K.C.C. 20.24.080; amending Ordinance 9785, Section 10, and K.C.C. 20.24.197; amending Ordinance 263, Article 6, Section 4, and K.C.C. 20.28.050; amending Ordinance 2537, Section 2, and K.C.C. 20.36.110; amending Ordinance 3064, Sections 8 and 10, and K.C.C. 20.54.080 and 20.54.100; amending Ordinance 4828, Section 2, and amending K.C.C. 20.62.020; amending Ordinance 4828, Section 7, and amending K.C.C. 20.62.070; amending Ordinance 4828, Section 8 and K.C.C. 20.62.080; adding new section to K.C.C. 20.12, 20.24 and 20.62.

### PREAMBLE:

For the purpose of effective land use planning and regulation, the King County Council makes the following legislative findings:

- 1. King County has adopted the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan, to meet the requirements of the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA).
- 2. The GMA requires King County to adopt development regulations, which include area zoning maps and text, and its plans and plan amendment processes, including community and functional plans, to be consistent with and implement its Comprehensive Plan by December 31, 1994.
- 3. The changes to the King County Planning Code (Title 20 of the King County Code), as well as the changes to the area zoning maps and text for each of the planning areas in King County, which are contained in this Ordinance are needed to bring Title 20 into conformance with the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan, as required by the GMA. They also are needed to make Titles 20 and 21A consistent with each other. As such, they bear a substantial relationship to, and are necessary for, the public health, safety and general welfare of King County and its residents.

4. Community plans continue in effect to the extent 1620 they are not in conflict with the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan, but are not readopted as elements of the Comprehensive Plan under the GMA. They provide historical context for past zoning decisions and future zoning decisions to implement the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance 263, Article 2, Section 3 and K.C.C. 20.12.030 are each amended to read as follows:

A. The comprehensive plan ((may thereafter be progressively amplified and augmented in scope by expanding and increasing the general provisions and proposals for all or any one of the elements set forth herein and by adding provisions and proposals for the optional elements set forth in Section 20.12.040. The comprehensive plan may also be amplified, augmented and implemented by progressively developing community plans. The community plan goals, guidelines, policies and area zoning shall be consistent with the comprehensive plan)) shall be amended no more than once per calendar year except in case of an emergency, as provided in R.C.W. 36.70A.130.

- B. ((The comprehensive plan map and policies shall be reviewed and evaluated five years from the effective date of this Ordinance 7178 and every five years thereafter, to determine whether the plan's key concepts are being implemented effectively, to reflect new community goals, and to respond to changing conditions. The review and evaluation shall be in the form of a report from the county executive to the council, with public input, findings and recommendations.)) The department, in consultation with the council, shall adopt a process for comprehensive plan land use map and policy amendments under the rule-making authority of K.C.C. 2.98 by July 1, 1995. The process shall include, at a minimum, the following features:
- 1. Concurrent consideration of all proposed changes to allow cumulative impact analysis and meet the limit of R.C.W.36.70A.130 of one comprehensive plan amendment per year;
- 2. Process and procedures for plan amendments which are initiated by:
  - a. King County Council motion;

b. King County Executive requestc. private application.

- 3. Deadlines for submittal of proposed changes that will facilitate coordination between the comprehensive plan amendment process and preparation and adoption of the county's budget and permit State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) review;
- 4. A detailed statement of what is recommended to be changed and why existing policies or criteria should be changed or no longer apply;
- 5. Any proposed plan amendment shall be accompanied by a statement of how the amendment complies with the Comprehensive Plan, Countywide Planning Policies, and the Washington State Growth Management Act's goals and specific requirements;
- 6. Proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan should be accompanied by any amendments to development regulations, including area zoning and codes and local or subarea plans adopted pursuant to the Growth Management Act which are needed for consistency with the plan, and work programs and schedules to update capital improvement programs and functional plans consistent with the amendments;
- 7. A uniform application procedure and level of information required to evaluate the feasibility of converting lands with a rural designation to urban growth area, and procedures for dedicating or otherwise permanently protecting the requisite open space in accordance with Countywide Planning Policy FW-1, step 7, and the applicable policies of the 1994 King County Comprehensive Plan as amended; and
- 8. A public review process for recommended plan amendments and implementing measures.
- ((C. The comprehensive plan map may be amended through either the community plan process or in response to individual public or private proposals through the processes set forth in this chapter))

NEW SECTION, SECTION 2. There is hereby added to K.C.C. 20.12 a new section to read as follows:

The Four to One Program - Amending the urban growth area to achieve open space. Rural area land may be added to the

3 T 1 ( x 1 )

3 4 5

6 7

8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

the following manner. A. All proposals to add land to the urban growth area under this program shall meet the following criteria:

urban growth area in accordance with the following criteria in

- 1. The land to be included is not zoned agriculture (A) or is in an area where a contiguous band of publically dedicated open space currently exists along the urban growth area line;
- 2. A permanent dedication to the King County Open space System of four acres of open space for every one acre of land added to the urban growth area;
- . 3. The land added to the urban growht area must be physically contiguous to existing urban growth area and must be able to be served by sewers and other urban services;
- 4. The minimum depth of the open space buffer shall be one half of the property width;
- 5. The minimum size of the property to be considered is 20 acres. Smaller parcels can be combined to meet the 20 acre minimum.
- 6. Proposals for open space dedication and redesignation to the urban growth area must be received between July 1, 1994 and June 30, 1996.
- 7. The total area added to the urban growth area as a result of this program shall not exceed 4000 acres. The department shall keep a cumulative total for all parcels added under this section. Such total shall be updated annually through the plan amendment process.
- 8. Development under this section shall be residential development and shall be at a minimum density of 4 dwelling units per acre. Site suitability and development conditions for both the urban and rural portions of the proposal shall be established through the preliminary formal plat approval process.
- B. Proposals which add 200 acres or more to the urban growwth area shall also meet the following criteria:
- 1. Proposals shall include a mix of housing types including thirty percent below market rate units affordable to low, moderate and median inclome households;

STATE STATE

4 5

6

7

11 12

10

14

15

13

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

26

27

25

28 29

30 31

32 33

34

35 36

27

2. In proposals where the thirty percent requirement is exceeded, the required open space dedication shall be reduced to 3.5 acres of open space for every one acre added to the urban growth area.

- C. For parcels which add less than 200 acres and which provide the thirty percent affordable housing mix, the open space dedication requirement shall be reduced to 3.5 acres of open space for every one acre added to the urban growth area.
- D. Requests for redesignation shall be evaluated to determine those which are the highest quality with regard to but not limited to, fish and wildlife habitat, regional open space connections, water quality protection, unique natural, cultural, historical or archeological resources, size of open space dedication, and the ability to provide efficient urban services to the redesignated areas.
- E. Proposals adjacent to incorporated area or potential annexation areas shall be referred to the affected city for recommendations.
- F. Proposals shall be processed as land use amendments to the Comprehensive Plan.

SECTION 3. Ordinance 263, Article 3, Section 1, and K.C.C. 20.16.020 are each amended to read as follows: Comprehensive plan duties. The division shall ((, with the assistance of the commission,)) prepare and present to the county council comprehensive plans and/or amendments thereto as the needs of the county and the Washington State Growth Management Act require for adoption by Ordinance. The division shall identify the financial costs and public benefits of any update or amendment to the comprehensive plan, or subarea or neighborhood plan and the applicable Comprehensive Plan policies that support or that will require update or amendment. In addition, the division shall state how the amendment furthers the goals of the Growth Management Act and is consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies and plans of adjacent jurisdictions. The division shall also state any proposed amendments to the comprehensive plan required to make the proposed amendment consistent. The division shall present its

Total

5 6

7

4

8 9 10

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 2.7

28 29

31

30

33

32

34 35

36

37

analysis to the council along with the plan so that implementing measures can be prioritized and enhanced.

- A. PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED. Before adopting any amendment ((, extension)) or addition to the comprehensive plan, the council shall hold at least one public hearing thereon((+)).
- B. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING. Notice of the time, place and purpose of any such public hearing shall be given by one publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the county ((and in the official gazette, if any, of the county,)) at least twenty days before the hearing( $(\div)$ ).
- C. FILING OF COPIES. After adoption the division may file copies of the comprehensive plan, or any addition or amendment thereto, with any adjoining jurisdiction for purposes of information to such adjoining jurisdiction((+)).
- (( D. ANNUAL REPORT. The planning and community development division shall render an annual report on the status of the plan and accomplishments thereunder;)).
- D. PROMOTION OF PUBLIC INTEREST IN PLAN. The division shall endeavor to promote public interest in, and understanding of, the comprehensive plan and its purpose, and of the official controls related thereto.
- E. EARLY AND CONTINUOUS PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT. The division shall provide for early and continuous public involvement in the amendment of the comprehensive plan and development regulations implementing the comprehensive plan and amendments to the comprehensive plan.

SECTION 4. Ordinance 263, Article 3, Section 3, and K.C.C. 20.16.080 are each amended to read as follows: Official controls. From time to time, the ((division, with the assistance of the commission, may cause to be prepared)) department of development and environmental services may prepare official controls and other development regulations which when adopted by Ordinance by the council will((further the objectives and goals of)) implement the comprehensive plan consistent with the requirements of the Washington State Growth Management Act. The division may also draft such subarea or neighborhood plans((, regulations, programs)) and other legislation as may, in

its judgment, be required to preserve the integrity of the comprehensive plan and assure its systematic execution, and ((the planning and community development division)) may recommend((such plans, regulations, programs and legislation)) them to the council for adoption.

SECTION 5. Ordinance 11502, Section 2, Ordinance 4461, Section 1, and K.C.C. 20.24.070 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Recommendations to the council.

- A. The examiner shall receive and examine available information, conduct public hearings and prepare recommendations and reports thereof and issue recommendations, including findings and conclusions to the council based on the issues and evidence in the record in the following cases:
  - 1. Applications for reclassifications of property;
- 2. Applications for ((unclassified)) special use permits;
- 3. Applications for <u>urban</u> planned ((<del>unit</del>)) development((s)) <u>permits</u>;
- 4. Applications for preliminary plats; including those variance decisions made by the road engineer pursuant to K.C.C. 14.42.060 with regard to road circulation in the subject preliminary plat proposal;
- 5. Applications for shoreline environment redesignations;
- 6. ((Applications for boundary adjustments of local sewer service areas in accordance with the county sewerage general plan, Ordinance 4035, Chapter 6 comprehensive plan, required for development proposals including but not limited to short subdivisions and building permits, which seek or need sewer service but are located outside of existing designated local sewer service areas.)) Applications to extend sewer service pursuant to K.C.C. 13.24.
- 7. Applications for agricultural land variances;

  ((8. Applications for review of designations of agricultural lands of county significance of King County agricultural districts;

3

4 5

7 8

6

10 11

9

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

9. Applications to revise the boundaries of agricultural lands of county significance;))

((10))8. Applications for public benefit rating system assessed valuation on open space land and current use assessment on timber lands except as provided in Section 20.36.090;

- $((\frac{11}{1}))$  Appeals from denials by the county assessor of applications for current use assessments on farm and agricultural lands;
- ((12))10. Appeals from decisions regarding residential condominium binding site plan applications pursuant to Section 19.34.050;
- ((13 Applications for a public agency exception pursuant to K.C.C. 21.54.050.))
- ((14))11. Applications for the vacation of county roads; ((15))12. Appeals of a recommendation by the department of public works to deny the petition for vacation of a county road;
- ((16))13. Appeals of a recommendation by the department of public works of the compensation amount to be paid for vacation of a county road;
- ((17))14. Other applications or appeals which the council may prescribe by Ordinance.
- B. The examiner's recommendation may be to grant or deny the application or appeal, or the examiner may recommend that the council adopt the application or appeal with such conditions, modifications and restrictions as the examiner finds necessary to make the application reasonably compatible with the environment and carry out applicable state laws and regulations and the regulations, policies, objectives and goals of the comprehensive plan, the community plans, subarea or neighborhood plans, ((the sewerage general plan,))the zoning code, the subdivision code and other official laws, policies and objectives of King County. In case of any conflict between the King County Comprehensive Plan and a community, subarea or neighborhood plan, the Comprehensive Plan shall govern.
  - SECTION 6. Ordinance 11502, Section 3, Ordinance 4461,

 Section 2, and K.C.C. 20.24.080 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Final decisions by the examiner. A. The examiner shall receive and examine available information, conduct public hearings and prepare recommendations and reports thereof, and issue final decisions, including findings and conclusions, based on the issues and evidence in the record, which shall be appealable to superior court as provided by Section 20.24.240, or to other designated authority in the following cases:

- 1. Appeals from the decisions of the administrator for short subdivisions, including those variance decisions of the road engineer made pursuant to K.C.C. 14.42.060 with regard to road circulation in the subject short divisions;
  - 2. Appeals of threshold determinations;
- 3. Appeals from notices and Orders issued pursuant to Title 23 of this code or the Rules and Regulations VII of the King County department of public health;
- 4. Appeals from decisions to require sensitive areas studies or to condition or deny pursuant to chapter ((21.54)) 21A.24 development proposals which do not otherwise have an appeal process available;
- 5. Appeals from conditions imposed on final approvals of subdivisions receiving extensions pursuant to Section 19.28.050.E. or F.;
- 6: Appeals from decisions of the <u>director of the</u>

  <u>department of development and environmental services or zoning</u>

  adjustor on ((administrative conditional use permits,))

  conditional use permits ((er)), variances or periodic review of extractive operations;
- 7. Appeals from decisions regarding site plan approvals ((pursuant to Section 21.46.180 and pursuant to Ordinance 4122));
- 8. Appeals from decisions regarding the abatement of
  ((nonconforming uses)) a nonconformance;
- 9. Applications for shoreline substantial development permits when combined with other land use applications pursuant to Section 25.32.080;

(10. Appears from decisions regarding modification of
aping requirements pursuant to Section 21.51.050;)
((11.)) 10. Appeals from decisions of the director of
partment of public works on requests for rate adjustments
face and storm management rates and charges;
((12.)) 11. Appeals from decisions regarding the reuse
lic schools pursuant to ((Section 21.08.040.H.)) <u>Title</u>
((13.)) 12. Appeals from decisions to condition or deny
ations pursuant to RCW 43.21C.060, as provided in Section
120 2.C.;
((14.)) 13. Appeals from department of public safety
es and intended forfeitures, when properly designated by
ief law enforcement officer of that department as provided
69.50.505;
((15.)) 14. Appeals from decisions of the $((manager of$
ng and land development division)) director of the
ment of development and environmental services on
ces;
((16.)) 15. Appeals from notices and certifications of
ehicles to be removed as a public nuisance as provided in
r 23.10;
((17.)) 16. Applications for a reasonable use exception
nt to K.C.C. (( <del>21.54.050</del> )) <u>21A.24.070</u> ;
((18.)) 17. Appeals from denials under K.C.C.
120;
((19.)) 18. Appeals from decisions of the director of
partment of development and environment services on
cial site development permits.
19. Appeals from the department's final decisions
ing transportation concurrency , mitigation payment system
tersection standards provisions of Title 14.
20. Other applications or appeals which the council may

application or appeal, or the examiner may grant the application or appeal with such conditions, modifications and restrictions

as the examiner finds necessary to make the application or appeal compatible with the environment and carry out applicable state laws and regulations and the regulations, policies, objectives and goals of the comprehensive plan, the community plans, subarea or neighborhood plans, ((the sewerage general plan,)) the zoning code, the subdivision code and other official laws, policies and objectives of King County. In case of any conflict between the King County Comprehensive Plan and a community, subarea or neighborhood plan, the Comprehensive Plan shall govern.

SECTION 7. Ordinance 9785, Section 10, and K.C.C. 20.24.197 are hereby amended to read as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Additional examiner findings and recommendations - school capacities. Whenever the examiner in the course of conducting hearings or reviewing preliminary plat applications((, PUD's)), or actualization of potential multi-family zoning, receives documentation that the public schools in the district where the development is proposed would not meet the standards set out in K.C.C. ((21.56.050)) 21A.28.160 if the development were approved, the examiner shall remand to ((BALD)) the department of development and environmental services to require or recommend phasing or provision of the needed facilities and sites as appropriate to address the deficiency, or deny the proposal if required by the provisions of this chapter. The examiner shall prepare findings to document the facts which support the action taken. The examiner shall recommend such phasing as may be necessary to coordinate the development of the housing with the provision of sufficient school facilities, or in the alternative shall require the provision of the needed facilities. An offer of payment of a school impact fee as required by Ordinance shall not be a substitute for such phasing, but the fee is still assessable. The examiner shall recommend a payment schedule for the fee to coordinate the payment with the phasing of an impact mitigation fee if such provision or payment is satisfactory to the district. examiner must determine independently that the conditions of approval and assessable fees will provide for adequate schools.

SECTION 8. Ordinance 263 Art. 6, Section 4, and K.C.C. 20.28.050 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Authority. The zoning adjustor, subject to the provisions of this title and the provisions of the zoning code of King County (Title 21A) shall hear and decide:

- A. Applications for conditional use or other permits when the zoning code sets forth the specific uses to be made subject to conditional use permits and establishes criteria for determining the conditions to be imposed;
- B. Applications for variances from the terms of the zoning code, provided that any variance granted shall ((be subject to such conditions as will assure that the adjustment thereby authorized shall not constitute a grant of special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which subject property is situated and that the following circumstances are found to apply:
- 1. Because of special circumstances applicable to subject property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, the strict application of the zoning code is found to deprive property of rights and privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity and under identical zone classification,
- 2. That granting of the variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the vicinity and zone in which subject property is situated)) comply with the criteria set forth in K.C.C. 21A.44.030.

SECTION 9. Ordinance 2537, Section 2, and K.C.C.
20.36.110 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Current use taxation of timber land. Classification of timber land for current use taxation under the provisions of RCW 84.34 shall be in accordance with the following criteria:

- A. The property to be classified shall contain not less than five and not more than twenty acres of timber land; and
- B. The property must be within an established ((FR (forestry recreation),)) F (forest resource), A (agriculture((al))e) or ((A-R)) RA (rural area) zone.

3

4 5

6 7 8

10

9

12 13

11

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

SECTION 10. Ordinance 3064, Section 8, and K.C.C. 20.54.080 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Exemptions from Section 20.54.070 provisions. following shall be exempt from the provisions of Section 20.54.070:

- A. A division of land to allow a landowner retiring from commercial agricultural operations to continue to retain and occupy the farm residence and accessory buildings; provided, that the owner has resided on the property for at least five years prior to such division, and further provided, that said landowner must be at least sixty-two years of age or older at the time of filing or retired by reason of physical disability;
- B. A division of land to allow for an additional single-family dwelling to be occupied by members of the owner's family who are engaged in the farm operations; provided, that all land not occupied by the dwelling and accessory buildings shall be retained in agricultural use;
- C. A division of land to provide sites for public utility facilities or communication and transmission towers and appurtenances;
- D. Any parcel of land where the size of the entire parcel under single ownership is less than ten acres, and the land is not zoned either A or ((G)) RA.

SECTION 11. Ordinance 3604, Section 10, and K.C.C. 20.54.100 are hereby amended to read as follows: Review and appeals.

- A. For any rezone or subdivision application in which the subject property is an undivided parcel of land under a single ownership and is partially designated as agricultural land of county significance under Section 20.54.060, the King County zoning and subdivision examiner shall determine the applicability of the provisions of Section 20.54.070.
- B. Nothing in this chapter shall replace the procedures for the application, review and appeal of zoning reclassifications pursuant to Chapters ((21.60, 21.62)) 21A.40, 21A.42 and 20.24, or the application, review and appeal of subdivision applications pursuant to Title 19 and Chapter 20.24.

- C. Owners of land designated as agricultural land of county significance may appeal to the King County council for the purpose of contesting the appropriateness of the designation based on the criteria for designation described in Section 20.54.060. Such appeals shall be submitted in writing to the King County office of agriculture and shall be heard by the zoning and subdivision examiner in accordance with the procedures in Chapter 20.24, and shall be commenced within one hundred twenty days of the effective date of any Ordinance approving such designation. Appeals involving uncontested facts shall be submitted directly to the council for action by the office of agriculture.
- D. Owners of land designated as part of a King County agricultural district may appeal to the King County council for the purpose of contesting the appropriateness of the designation. Such appeals shall be submitted in writing to the King County office of agriculture and shall be heard by the King County council and shall be commenced within one hundred twenty days of the effective date of any Ordinance approving such designation.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 12. A new section is added to Ordinance 4828 and K.C.C. 20.62 to read as follows:

Historic Resources - review process. A. King County shall not approve any development proposal or otherwise issue any authorization to alter, demolish, or relocate any historic resource identified in the King County Historic Resource Inventory, pursuant to the requirements of this chapter. The standards contained in K.C.C. 21A.12, Development Standards - Density and Dimensions and K.C.C. 21A.16, Development Standards - Landscaping and Water Use shall be expanded, when necessary, to preserve the aesthetic, visual and historic integrity of the historic resource from the impacts of development on adjacent properties.

B. Upon receipt of an application for a development proposal located on or adjacent to of a historic resource listed in the King County Historic Resource Inventory, the director shall follow the following procedure:

 1. The development proposal application shall be circulated to the King County Historic Preservation Officer for comment on the impact of the project on historic resources and for recommendation on mitigation. This includes all permits for alterations to historic buildings, alteration to landscape elements, new construction on the same or abutting lots, or any other action requiring a permit which might affect the historic character of the resource. Information required for a complete permit application to be circulated to the historic preservation Officer shall include:

- a. a vicinity map;
- b. a site plan showing the location of all buildings, structures, and landscape features;
- c. a brief description of the proposed project together with architectural drawings showing the existing condition of all buildings, structures, landscape features and any proposed alteration to them;
- d. photographs of all buildings, structures, or landscape features on the site; and
- e. an environmental checklist, except where categorically exempt under King County SEPA guidelines.
- 2. Upon request, the Historic Preservation Officer shall provide information about available grant assistance and tax incentives for historic preservation. The Officer may also provide the owner, developer, or other interested party with examples of comparable projects where historic resources have been restored or rehabilitated.
- 3. In the event of a conflict between the development proposal and preservation of an historic resources, the Historic Preservation Officer shall:
- a. suggest appropriate alternatives to the owner/developer which achieve the goals of historic preservation.
- b. recommend approval, or approval with conditions to the Director of the Department of Development and Environmental Services; or

7

11 12

13

10

14 15

17

18

16

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35 36 permits obtained, if required by law.

proposal application, but shall not issue any development permits or issue a SEPA threshold determination until receiving a recommendation from the Historic Preservation Officer. event shall review of the proposal by the historic preservation officer delay permit processing beyond any period required by law. Permit applications for changes to landmark properties

c. propose that a resource be nominated for County

4. The director may continue to process the development

Landmark designation according to procedures established in the

Landmarks Preservation Ordinance (K.C.C. 20.62).

shall not be considered complete unless accompanied by a Certificate of Appropriateness pursuant to K.C.C. 20.62.080.

5. On known archaeological sites, before any disturbance

of the site, including, but not limited to test boring, site clearing, construction, grading or revegetation, the State Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (OAHP), and the King County historic preservation officer, and appropriate Native American tribal organizations must be notified and state The officer may require that a professional archaeological survey be conducted to identify site boundaries, resources and mitigation alternatives prior to any site disturbance and that a technical report be provided to the officer, OAHP and appropriate tribal organizations. The officer may approve, disapprove or require permits conditions, including professional archeological surveys, to mitigate adverse impacts to known archeological sites.

C. Upon receipt of an application for a development proposal which affects a King County landmark or an historic resource that has received a preliminary determination of significance as defined by K.C.C. 20.62.020.V., the application circulated to the King County Historic Preservation Officer shall be deemed an application for a Certificate of appropriateness pursuant to K.C.C. 20.62.080 if accompanied by the additional information required to apply for such certificate.

1 2

 SECTION 13. Ordinance 4828, Section 2 and K.C.C. 20.62.020 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Definitions. The following words and terms shall, when used in this chapter, be defined as follows unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

- A. "Alteration" is any construction, demolition, removal, modification, excavation, restoration or remodeling of a landmark.
- B. "Building" is a structure created to shelter any form of human activity, such as a house, barn, church, hotel, or similar structure. Building may refer to an historically related complex, such as a courthouse and jail or a house and barn.
- C. "Certificate of appropriateness" is written authorization issued by the commission or its designee permitting an alteration to a significant feature of a designated landmark.
- D. "Commission" is the landmarks and heritage commission created by this chapter.
- E. "Community landmark" is an historic resource which has been designated pursuant to Section 20.62.040 of this chapter but which may be altered or changed without application for or approval of a certificate of appropriateness.
  - F. "Council" is the King County council.
- G. "Designation" is the act of the commission determining that an historic resource meets the criteria established by this chapter.
- H. "Designation report" is a report issued by the commission after a public hearing setting forth its determination to designate a landmark and specifying the significant feature or features thereof.
- I. "Director" is the director of the King County

  Department of Development and Environmental Services or his or her designee.
- $((\frac{1}{1}))$  <u>J.</u> "District" is a geographically definable area, urban or rural, possessing a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united

 by past events or aesthetically by plan or physical development.

A district may also comprise individual elements separated
geographically but linked by association or history.

- $((J_{-}))$  K. "Heritage" is a discipline relating to history, ethnic history, traditional cultures, folklore, archaeology and historic preservation.
- ((K.)) L. "Historic preservation officer" is the King County historic preservation officer or his or her designee.
- ((<del>L.</del>)) <u>M.</u> "Historic Resource" is a district, site, building, structure or object significant in((<del>American and/or</del>)) national, state or local history, architecture, archaeology, and culture.
- N. "Historic resource inventory" is an organized compilation of information on historic resources considered to be significant according to the criteria listed in K.C.C.

  20.62.040A. The historic resource inventory is kept on file by the historic preservation officer and is updated from time to time to include newly eligible resources and to reflect changes to resources.
- ((M.-)) O. "Incentives" are such compensation, rights or privileges or combination thereof, which the council, or other local, state or federal public body or agency, by virtue of applicable present or future legislation, may be authorized to grant to or obtain for the owner(s) of designated landmarks. Examples of economic incentives include but are not limited to tax relief, conditional use permits, rezoning, street vacation, planned unit development, transfer of development rights, facade easements, gifts, preferential leasing policies, private or public grants-in-aid, beneficial placement of public improvements, or amenities, or the like.
- ((N-)) O. "Interested person of record" is any individual, corporation, partnership or association which notifies the commission or the council in writing of its interest in any matter before the commission.
- $((\Theta.))$  P. "Landmark" is an historic resource designated as a landmark pursuant to Section 20.62.060 of this chapter.

- ((P. "Manager" is the manager of the King County building and land development division or his or her designee.))
- Q. "Nomination" is a proposal that an historic resource be designated a landmark.
- R. "Object" is a material thing of functional, aesthetic, cultural, historical, or scientific value that may be, by nature or design, movable yet related to a specific setting or environment.
- S. "Owner" is a person having a fee simple interest, a substantial beneficial interest of record or a substantial beneficial interest known to the commission in an historic resource. Where the owner is a public agency or government, that agency shall specify the person or persons to receive notices hereunder.
- T. "Person" is any individual, partnership, corporation, group or association.
- U. "Person in charge" is the person or persons in possession of a landmark including, but not limited to, a mortgagee or vendee in possession, an assignee of rents, a receiver, executor, trustee, lessee, tenant, agent, or any other person directly or indirectly in control of the landmark.
- V. "Preliminary determination" is a decision of the commission determining that an historic resource which has been nominated for designation is of significant value and is likely to satisfy the criteria for designation.
- W. "Significant feature" is any element of a landmark which the commission has designated pursuant to this chapter as of importance to the historic, architectural or archaeological value of the landmark.
- X. "Site" is the location of a significant event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself maintains an historical or archaeological value regardless of the value of any existing structures.
- Y. "Structure" is any functional construction made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter.

SECTION 14. Ordinance 4828, Section 7 and K.C.C. 20.62.070 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

Designation procedure. A. The commission may approve, deny, amend or terminate the designation of an historic resource as a landmark or community landmark only after a public hearing. At the designation hearing the commission shall receive evidence and hear argument only on the issues of 1. whether the historic resource meets the criteria for designation of landmarks or community landmarks as specified in Section 20.62.040 of this chapter and merits designation as a landmark or community landmark and, 2. the significant features of the landmark. hearing may be continued from time to time in the discretion of the commission. In the event the hearing is continued, the commission may make a preliminary determination of significance if the commission determines, based on the record before it that the historic resource is of significant value and likely to satisfy the criteria for designation set out in Section 20.62.040. Such preliminary determination shall be effective as of the date of the public hearing at which it is made. Where the commission makes a preliminary determination it shall specify the boundaries of the nominated resource, the significant features thereof, and such other description of the historic resource as it deems appropriate. Within five working days after the commission has made a preliminary determination, the historic preservation officer shall file a written notice of such action with the manager and mail copies of the same, certified mail/return receipt requested, to the owner, the person submitting the nomination and interested persons of record. Such notice shall include:

- 1. A copy of the commission's preliminary determination;
- 2. A statement that while proceedings pursuant to this chapter are pending, or six months from the date of the notice, whichever is shorter, and thereafter if the designation is approved by the commission, the certificate of appropriateness procedures set out in Section 20.62.080, a copy of which shall be enclosed, shall apply to the described historic resource whether or not a building or other permit is required. The

decision of the commission shall be made after the close of the public hearing or at the next regularly scheduled public meeting of the commission thereafter.

- B. Whenever the commission approves the designation of an historic resource under consideration for designation as a landmark, it shall, within fourteen calendar days of the public meeting at which the decision is made, issue a written designation report which shall include:
- 1. The boundaries of the nominated resource and such other description of the resource sufficient to identify its ownership and location;
- 2. The significant features and such other information concerning the historic resource as the commission deems appropriate;
- 3. Findings of fact and reasons supporting the designation with specific reference to the criteria for designation set forth in Section 20.62.040;
- 4. A statement that no significant feature may be changed, whether or not a building or other permit is required, without first obtaining a certificate of appropriateness from the commission pursuant to the provisions of Section 20.62.080, a copy of which shall be included in the designation report. This subsection shall not apply to historic resources designated as community landmarks.
- C. Whenever the commission rejects the nomination of an historic resource under consideration for designation as a landmark, it shall, within fourteen calendar days of the public meeting at which the decision is made, issue a written decision including findings of fact and reasons supporting its determination that the criteria set forth in Section 20.62.040 have not been met. If an historic resource has been nominated as a landmark and the commission designates such historic resource as a community landmark, such designation shall be treated as a rejection of the nomination for King County landmark status and the foregoing requirement for a written decision shall apply. Nothing contained herein shall prevent

renominating any historic resource rejected under this subsection as a King County landmark at a future time.

D. A copy of the commission's designation report or decision rejecting a nomination shall be delivered or mailed to the owner, to interested persons of record and the ((manager)) director within five working days after it is issued. If the commission rejects the nomination and it has made a preliminary determination of significance with respect to such nomination, it shall include in the notice to the ((manager)) director a statement that the provisions of Section 20.62.080 no longer apply to the subject historic resources.

E. If the commission approves, or amends a landmark designation, the provisions of Section 20.62.080 shall apply as approved or amended. A copy of the commission's designation report or designation amendment shall be filed with the division of recommendations and elections together with a legal description of the designated resource and notification that the provisions of Sections 20.62.080 and 20.62.130 apply. If the commission terminates the designation of an historic resource, the provisions of Section 20.62.080 shall no longer apply to said historic resource.

SECTION 15. Ordinance 4828, Section 8 and K.C.C. 20.62.080 are each hereby amended to read as follows:

Certificate of appropriateness procedure.

A. At any time after a designation report and notice has been filed with the ((manager)) director and for a period of six months after notice of a preliminary determination of significance has been mailed to the owner and filed with the ((manager)) director, a certificate of appropriateness must be obtained from the commission before any alterations may be made to the significant features of the landmark identified in the preliminary determination report or thereafter in the designation report. The designation report shall supersede the preliminary determination report. This requirement shall apply whether or not the proposed alteration also requires a building or other permit. The requirements of this section shall not apply to any historic resource located within incorporated

3

4 5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

28

29

27

30 31

32 33

34

36

35

37

cities or towns in King County, except as provided by applicable interlocal agreement.

- B. Ordinary repairs and maintenance which do not alter the appearance of a significant feature and do not utilize substitute materials do not require a certificate of appropriateness. Repairs to or replacement of utility systems do not require a certificate of appropriateness provided that such work does not alter an exterior significant feature.
- C. There shall be three types of certificates of appropriateness, as follows:
- 1. Type I, for restorations and major repairs which utilize in-kind materials.
- 2. Type II, for alterations in appearance, replacement of historic materials and new construction.
- 3. Type III, for demolition, moving and excavation of archaeological sites.

In addition, the commission shall establish and adopt an appeals process concerning Type I decisions made by the historic preservation officer with respect to the applications for certificates of appropriateness.

The historic preservation officer may approve Type I certificates of appropriateness administratively without public hearing, subject to procedures adopted by the commission. Alternatively the historic preservation officer may refer applications for Type I certificates of appropriateness to the commission for decision. The commission shall adopt an appeals procedure concerning Type I decisions made by the historic preservation officer.

Type II and III certificates of appropriateness shall be decided by the commission and the following general procedures shall apply to such commission actions:

- 1. Application for a certificate of appropriateness shall be made by filing an application for such certificate with the historic preservation officer on forms provided by the commission.
- 2. If an application is made to the ((manager)) director for a permit for any action which affects a landmark, the

((manager)) director shall promptly refer such application to the historic preservation officer, and such application shall be deemed an application for a certificate of appropriateness if accompanied by the additional information required to apply for such certificate. The ((manager)) director may continue to process such permit application, but shall not issue any such permit until the time has expired for filing with the ((manager)) director the notice of denial of a certificate of appropriateness or a certificate of appropriateness has been issued pursuant to this chapter.

- 3. After the commission has commenced proceedings for the consideration of any application for a certificate of appropriateness by giving notice of a hearing pursuant to subsection 3 of this section, no other application for the same or a similar alteration may be made until such proceedings and all administrative appeals therefrom pursuant to this chapter have been concluded.
- 4. Within forty five calendar days after the filing of an application for a certificate of appropriateness with the commission or the referral of an application to the commission by the ((manager)) director except those decided administratively by the historic preservation officer pursuant to subsection 2 of this section, the commission shall hold a public hearing thereon. The historic preservation officer shall mail notice of the hearing to the owner, the applicant, if the applicant is not the owner, and parties of record at the designation proceedings, not less than ten calendar days before the date of the hearing. No hearing shall be required if the commission, the owner and the applicant, if the applicant is not the owner, agree in writing to a stipulated certificate approving the requested alterations thereof. This agreement shall be ratified by the commission in a public meeting and reflected in the commission meeting minutes. If the commission grants a certificate of appropriateness, such certificate shall be issued forthwith and the historic preservation officer shall promptly file a copy of such certificate with the ((manager)) director.

5. If the commission denies the application for a certificate of appropriateness, in whole or in part, it shall so notify the owner, the person submitting the application and interested persons of record setting forth the reasons why approval of the application is not warranted.

D. The commission shall adopt such other supplementary procedures consistent with K.C.C. 2.98 as it determines are required to carry out the intent of this section.

SECTION 16. Administrative rules. The director may promulgate administrative rules and regulations pursuant to K.C.C. 2.98, to implement the provisions and requirements of this chapter.

SECTION 17. Severability. If a provision of this chapter or its applicability to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the provisions of this chapter or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 18. There is hereby added to K.C.C. 20.12 to read as follows:

The King County Nonmotorized Transportation Plan

- A. The King County Nonmotorized Transportation Plan, dated March 1993, attached to Ordinance 10812, is adopted as the nonmotorized transportation functional plan implementing related policies established in the adopted King County Comprehensive Plan, and constitutes an amplification and augmentation of official county policy with regard to transportation issues.
- B. The Nonmotorized Transportation Plan shall be implemented through:
- 1. Integration of nonmotorized projects into the annual transportation project priority process and the annual six year capital improvement program.
  - 2. Updating the King County road standards.
- 3. County road maintenance, operating revisions and improvements.

- 4. Pursuit of additional public and private capital, maintenance and program funds at the local, regional, state and federal level for nonmotorized improvements.
- 5. Providing an overall guide for the coordination, development and implementation of the nonmotorized element of the county transportation system.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 19. There is hereby added to K.C.C. 20.12 a new section to read as follows:

King County Arterial HOV Transportation Plan

- A. The King County Arterial HOV Transportation Plan, dated March 1993, is adopted as the arterial HOV transportation functional plan implementing related policies established in the adopted King County Comprehensive Plan, and constitutes an amplification and augmentation of official county policy with regard to transportation issues.
- B. The Arterial HOV Transportation Plan shall be implemented through:
- 1. Integration of HOV projects into the annual transportation project priority process and the annual six year capital improvement program.
  - 2. Updating the King County road standards.
- 3. County road maintenance, operating revisions and improvements.
- 4. Pursuit of additional public and private capital, maintenance and program funds at the local, regional, state and federal level for HOV improvements.
- 5. Providing an overall guide for the coordination, development and implementation of the HOV element of the county transportation system.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 20. Amendments to this ordinance as passed by the council on December 19, 1994 are set forth in Attachment A to this ordinance and are incorporated by reference herein. Due to the number and length of the ordinances required by the Growth Management Act to be passed by the end of the year to amend county development regulations and the unavailability of a reliable electronic text version of the proposed ordinance as

introduced, it may not be possible to prepare an ordinance that incorporates amendments within each section prior to the charter deadline for transmitting the adopted ordinance to the executive. The clerk is hereby authorized to transmit the ordinance with amendments set forth in an attachment, or, if time allows, to incorporate the amendments within each section.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 28th day of November, 19 94.

PASSED by a vote of 10 to 0 this 19th day of December, 1994.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Kent Pullen

ATTEST:

Smald a Setting Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 30

day of December

197%

King County Executive

Attachments: Attachment A, Amendments passed by the council on 12/19/94.

# **ATTACHMENT A**

# AMENDMENTS PASSED BY THE KING COUNTY COUNCIL

**DECEMBER 19, 1994** 

December 12, 1994

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: <u>Development Reg. Team</u>

Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779 - Amending Title 20

1 2 3

17

18

19

20

21

AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779 AMENDING KCC 4 TITLE 20, AS PRESENTED IN LEGISLATIVE FORMAT

- Page 3, lines 34-37, page 4, lines 1-37, page 5, lines 1-20, strike existing language and insert the following with changes shown in bold:
- NEW SECTION. SECTION 2. There is hereby added to K.C.C. 20.12a new section to read as follow:
- 9 The Four to One Program Amending the urban growth area to achieve open space.
- Rural area land may be added to the urban growth area in accordance with the following criteria in the following manner.
- A. All proposals to add land to the urban growth area under this program shall meet the following criteria:
- 14 1. The land to be included is not zoned agriculture (A) or is in an area where a contiguous band of publically dedicated open space currently exists along the urban growth area line;
  - 2. A permanent dedication to the King County Open Space **sS**ystem of four acres of open space **is required** for every one acre of land added to the urban growth area;
  - 3. The land added to the urban **growth** area must be physically contiguous to existing urban growth area and must be able to be served by sewers and other urban services;
- 4. The minimum depth of the open space buffer shall be one half of the property width;
- 5. The minimum size of the property to be considered is 20 acres. Smaller parcels can be combined to meet the 20 acre minimum.

6. Proposals for open space dedication and redesignation to the urban growth area must be received between July 1, 1994 and June 30, 1996. 116207. The total area added to the urban growth area as a result of this program shall not exceed 4000 acres. The department shall keep a cumulative total for all parcels

added under this section. Such total shall be updated annually through the plan

- 8. Development under this section shall be residential development and shall be at a minimum density of 4 dwelling units per acre. Site suitability and development conditions for both the urban and rural portions of the proposal shall be established through the preliminary formal plat approval process.
- B. Proposals which add 200 acres or more to the urban growth area shall also meet the following criteria:
- 1. Proposals shall include a mix of housing types including thirty percent below market rate units affordable to low, moderate and median income households;
- 2. In proposals where the thirty percent requirement is exceeded, the required open space dedication shall be reduced to 3.5 acres of open space for every one acre added to the urban growth area.]
- C. Proposals which add less than 200 acres to the urban growth area and which meet the affordable housing criteria in Section 2B (1) above, shall meet a reduced open space dedication requirement of 3.5 acres of open space for every one acre added to the urban growth area.
- D. Requests for redesignation shall be evaluated to determine those which are the highest quality with regard to but not limited to, fish and wildlife habitat, regional open space connections, water quality protection, unique natural, cultural, historical or archeological resources, size of open space dedication, and the ability to provide efficient urban services to the redesignated areas.
- E. Proposals adjacent to incorporated area or potential annexation areas shall be referred to the affected city for recommendations.
- F. Proposals shall be processed as land use amendments to the Comprehensive Plan.

#### Rationale:

П

27. 

amendment process.

Clarifies the reduced open space requirement for proposals under 200 acres in size which meet the affordable housing standards for larger proposals.

2.

Mr. Vance moved Amendment 20.2. The motion PASSED 10-0, Mr. Derdówski, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Nickels excused.

20.2 11620

**December 12, 1994** 

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: Development

## Reg.Team

Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779 - Amending Title 20

AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779 AMENDING KCC TITLE 20, AS PRESENTED IN LEGISLATIVE FORMAT

- 5 Page 6, line 5, after 'thereon' and before '.' insert ". or may refer a proposed
- amendment to the hearing examiner pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.070" as shown
- 7 below:
- A. PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED. Before adopting any amendment ((<del>,extension</del>)) or addition to the comprehensive plan, the council shall hold at least one public hearing thereon, or may refer a proposed amendment to the hearing examiner pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24.070.

#### 12 Rationale:

Proposed by the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner. Would clarify that when the Council elects to do so, it may continue the current practice of referring property-specific plan amendments to the examiner for hearing and recommendation.

Mr. Vance moved Amendment 20.3. The motion PASSED 10-0, Mr. Derdowski, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Nickels excused.

(	<u>20.</u> 3	)
/		

11620

December 12, 1994

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: <u>Development Reg Team</u>

Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779 - Amending Title 20

- 2 AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779 AMENDING 3 KCC TITLE 20, AS PRESENTED IN\_LEGISLATIVE FORMAT
- Page 8, line 21, after '14.' and before 'other' insert "Proposals for establishment
- or modification of cable system rates. 15." as shown below:
- 6 14. Proposals for establishment or modification of cable system rates.
- 7 ((47))15. Other applications or appeals....
- 8 Rationale:

1

- 9 Housekeeping amendment recommended by Zoning and Subdivision
- 10 Examiner.

g:\94devreg\amendmen\i20779ab.doc

Mr. Wance moved Amendment 20.4. The motion PASSED 10-0, Mr. Derdowski, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Nickels excused.



December 12, 1994

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: <u>Development Reg Team</u>

### Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779 - Amending Title 20

- 2 AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779 AMENDING
- 3 KCC TITLE 20, AS PRESENTED IN\_LEGISLATIVE FORMAT
- 4 Page 10, line 25, after 'from' insert "((denials)) enforcement actions" as shown
- 5 below:
- 6 ((18.)) 17. Appeals from ((denials)) enforcement actions under K.C.C.
- 7 23.08.120;
- 8 Rationale: KCC 23.08.120 allows for enforcement actions which are not
- 9 technically "denials," including revocation of permits and refusal to accept
- applications. It should be clearified that these actions, as well as monetary
- penalties, can be appealed.

Mr. Vance moved Amendment 20.5. The motion PASSED 10-0, Mr. Defdowski, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Nickels excused.



December 12, 1994

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: <u>Development Reg Team</u>

Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779 - Amending Title 20

- 2 AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779 AMENDING KCC TITLE 20, AS PRESENTED IN\_LEGISLATIVE FORMAT
- 4 Page 14, line 35, after 'to' and before 'a' delete "of" as shown below:
- 5 B. Upon receipt of an application for a development proposal located on or
- 6 adjacent to ((of)) a historic resource listed......
- 7 Note: Entire Section is new
- 8 Rationale: Typo.

Mr. Derdowski, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Nickels excused.

**20.6 116**20

**December 12, 1994** 

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: <u>Development Reg Team</u>

Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779 - Amending Title 20

- 2 AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779 AMENDING
- 3 KCC TITLE 20, AS PRESENTED IN\_LEGISLATIVE FORMAT
- Page 25, line 18, after 'added' and before 'to' insert " a new section" as shown
- 5 below:

j

- 6 NEW SECTION. SECTION 18. There is hereby added a new section to K.C.C.
- 7 Rationale: Typo.

 $egin{pmatrix} (20.7) \\ 11620 \end{bmatrix}$ 

December 12, 1994

Introduced By: Vance

Proposed By: <u>Development Reg Team</u>

Executive Proposed Ordinance 94-779

1 2 3

- 4 AMENDMENT TO EXECUTIVE PROPOSED ORDINANCE 94-779- RELATING
- 5 TO COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING AND ZONING (TITLE 20), ADOPTING
- 6 CHANGES TO KING COUNTY'S PLANNING PROCESS IN COMPLIANCE
- 7 WITH THE WASHINGTON STATE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AS
- 8 AMENDED- AS PRESENTED IN LEGISLATIVE FORMAT
- 9 Add a new section to read as follows:
- SECTION 20. Should any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence,
- clause or phrase of this ordinance or its application to any person or
- circumstance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, such
- decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this ordinance or
- its application to other persons or circumstances.
- 15 Rationale:
- Each ordinance needs to contain a severability clause for the ordinance.

Amendment to Proposed Ordinance 94-779

Sponsored by: Vance

Insert just prior to the severability clause at the end of the ordinance the following new section:

SECTION: Amendments to this ordinance as passed by the council on December 19, 1994 are set forth in Attachment \_\_\_ to this ordinance and are incorporated by reference herein. Due to the number and length of the ordinances required by the Growth Management Act to be passed by the end of the year to amend county development regulations and the unavailability of a reliable electronic text version of the proposed ordinance as introduced, it may not be possible to prepare an ordinance that incorporates amendments within each section prior to the charter deadline for transmitting the adopted ordinance to the executive. The clerk is hereby authorized to transmit the ordinance with amendments set forth in a attachment, or, if time allows, to incorporate the amendments within each section.

NOTE: This amendment has been incorporated into this ordinance.