

ADDITIONAL MEETING MATERIALS KING COUNTY COUNCIL

8/19/25 Mosqueda – Fee Cap

Sponsor: Mosqueda

[E. Auzins] Proposed No.: 2025-0127

AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2025-0127, VERSION 2

2 On page 1, after line 12, insert:

3 "SECTION 1. Findings:

4 A. This ordinance includes a new formula for the calculation of impact fees.

5 This ordinance also includes a maximum impact fee amount for middle housing and

6 larger apartment units, as one measure to limit the impact of the fee on housing

7 production. This formula is new, and the county is committed to evaluating its efficacy

over time, both for measuring the impact of new development on school capacity, and on

9 the impacts of the fee on housing production in unincorporated King County. If the

county finds that the formula isn't as effective as intended, then in the capital facility

plans and impact fee proposed for 2031, a modified formula or modified maximum

impact fee, or both, may be proposed. The maximum impact fee may also be modified in

the future due to inflation or population growth, or some other factor, as part of the

county and school districts' regular ongoing evaluation of school capital facility

15 planning."

16

14

1

8

17	If Amendments 1, 2, and/or 4 passes, engross all amendments in order, and correct the
18	subsection references in the Findings section accordingly. Renumber the remaining
19	sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.
20	
21	On page 5, line 101, after "facilities plan" insert "either"
22	
23	On page 5, line 101, after "critical issue" insert "or to lower the school impact fee"
24	
25	On page 14, after the table that begins on page 10 after line 215, insert:
26	"G. The school impact fees for the following categories shall not be greater than
27	\$5,000: For middle housing units with three or more bedrooms, middle housing units
28	with two or fewer bedrooms, and apartment units with two or more bedroom. The impact
29	fees for other categories shall not be modified as a result of this cap."
30	
31	EFFECT prepared by E. Auzins:
32	Adds a finding on the new formula.
33	• Allows for annual amendments to lower the school impact fee.
34	• Establishes a maximum school impact fee amount for middle housing units and
35	apartment units with more than 2 bedrooms.

•

8/19/25 Mosqueda – Affordable Housing Exemption

Sponsor: Mosqueda

[E. Auzins]

Proposed No.: 2025-0127

AMENDMENT TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2025-0127, VERSION 2

2 On page 1, after line 12, insert:

3 "SECTION 1. Findings:

- 4 A. RCW 82.02.060 and K.C.C. 21A.43.080, allow for exemptions from impact
- 5 fee for low-income housing projects. It is the intent of the council that the county
- 6 provide school-district approved exemptions from school impact fees authorized by this
- 7 ordinance and K.C.C. 21A.43.080 for all affordable housing projects in unincorporated
- 8 King County."

9

1

- 10 If Amendments 1, 2, and/or 4 passes, engross all amendments in order, and correct the
- subsection references in the Findings section accordingly. Renumber the remaining
- sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

- On page 15, after line 265, insert:
- "SECTION 7. Ordinance 11621, Section 117, as amended, and K.C.C.
- 16 21A.43.080 are hereby amended to read as follows:
- 17 "A. Low-income housing projects as defined in RCW 82.02.060, including
- permanent supportive housing projects, shall be exempt from the payment of school

impact fees. The amount of the school impact fees not collected from low-income
household development shall be paid from public funds other than impact fee accounts.
The impact fees for these units shall be considered paid for by the district through its
other funding sources, without the district actually transferring funds from its other
funding sources into the impact fee account. ((The housing, homelessness, and
community development division shall review proposed developments of low-income
housing in accordance with criteria and procedures adopted by administrative rule, and
shall advise the department of local services, permitting division, as to whether the
project qualifies for the exemption.
B. Applicants who dedicate residential units for occupancy by low income-
households may apply to the housing homologeness, and community development

households may apply to the housing, homelessness, and community development division for reductions in school impact fees in accordance with subsection A. of this section. The housing, homelessness, and community development division shall review proposed developments of low-income housing by such private applicants in accordance with criteria and procedures adopted by administrative rule, and shall advise the department of local services, permitting division, as to whether the project qualifies for the exemption. If the housing, homelessness, and community development division recommends the exemption, the department of local services, permitting division, shall reduce the calculated school impact fee for the development by an amount that is proportionate to the number of units in the development that satisfy the adopted criteria.

C. Developments for low-income homeownership units (as defined pursuant to the King County Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS)) who are

developing homes at prices within the eligibility limits based on standard lending criteria

42	and meet other means tests established by rule by the housing, homelessness, and
43	community development division are exempted from payment of the impact fee, except
44	that at such time as the property in question is transferred to another owner who does not
45	qualify for the exemption, at which time the fee shall be due and payable.
46	D. The housing, homelessness, and community development division is hereby
47	instructed and authorized to adopt, pursuant to K.C.C. chapter 2.98, administrative rules
48	to implement this section. Such rules shall provide for the administration of this program
49	and shall:
50	1. Encourage the construction of housing for low-income households;
51	2. Encourage the construction of housing units for low-income households that
52	are in addition to units required by another housing program or development condition;
53	3. Ensure that housing that qualifies as low cost meets appropriate standards
54	regarding household income, rent levels or sale prices, location, number of units, and
55	development size; and
56	4. Ensure that applicants who obtain an exemption from or reduction of school
57	impact fees will in fact build the proposed low cost housing and make it available to
58	low-income households.
59	5. Ensure that individual low-income purchasers meet appropriate eligibility
60	standards based on income and other financial means tests.
61	E.)) B. As a condition of receiving an exemption under ((subsection B. or C. of))
62	this section, the applicant shall execute and record a covenant against the property
63	guaranteeing that the proposed development will continue to be used for low-income
64	housing. In the event that the use of the development is no longer for low-income

55	housing, then the owner shall pay the impact fee amount from which the owner or any
66	prior owner was exempt. The covenant shall run with the land and apply to subsequent
67	owners.
68	((F.)) C. All school impact fee exemptions, reductions, or waivers shall be
59	approved by the school district that would receive the school impact fee, except for fee
70	exemptions allowed under K.C.C. 21A.43.070 and K.C.C. 21A.43.080, fee reductions
71	based on modifications to permits after issuance, or fee waivers for construction not
72	begun.
73	D. The school impact fees shall not be modified as as a result of the exemption."
74	
75	Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references
76	accordingly.
77	
78	EFFECT prepared by E. Auzins: Adds a Finding related to exemptions from
79	affordable housing projects and modifies the Code to reduce the County's discretion to
20 20	annrova ovamntions

•

8/19/25 Mosqueda – Housing Impacts

	Sponsor:	Mosqueda
[E. Auzins]	Proposed No.:	2025-0127
AMENDMENT TO PROPOSI	ED ORDINANC	E 2025-0127, VERSION 2
On page 6, after line 109, insert:		
"SECTION 3. Ordinance	e 11621, Section 9	90, as amended, and K.C.C. 21A.28.154
are hereby amended as follows:		
A. There is hereby create	ed the school tech	nnical review committee consisting of
the following representatives:		
1. One from the departr	nent of local serv	rices;
2. One from the regions	al planning unit o	f the office of performance, strategy,
and budget; ((and))		
3. One from the housing	g, homelessness, a	and community development division of
the department of community and	l human services;	and
4. One from the county	council staff, as a	a((n)) nonvoting ex officio member.
B. The representative from	om the departmen	t of local services shall serve as the
chair of the committee.		
C. The committee shall be	be charged with re	eviewing each school district's: capital
facilities plan; enrollment projec	tions; standard of	service; overall capacity for the next
six years to ensure consistency w	vith the Growth M	Management Act, King County

Comprehensive Plan, and adopted subarea plans; ((and)) calculation and rationale for

19	proposed impact lees; and evaluation of potential impacts of the proposed impact lee on
20	housing production.
21	D. Committee meetings shall be open to the public. The chair of the committee
22	shall post on the county's website a public notice of the time and place of a committee
23	meeting least two weeks in advance of the meeting. Materials submitted under K.C.C.
24	21A.28.152.A. shall be posted on the county's website at the same time as the meeting
25	notice.
26	E. At the meeting where the committee will review or act upon the school
27	district's documents, school district representatives may attend and present testimony to
28	the committee.
29	F. In its review, the committee shall consider the following factors:
30	1. Whether the school district's forecasting system for enrollment projections
31	has been demonstrated to be reliable and reasonable;
32	2. The historic levels of funding and voter support for bond issues in the school
33	district;
34	3. The inability of the school district to obtain the anticipated state funding or to
35	receive voter approval for school district bond issues;
36	4. An emergency or emergencies in the school district that required the closing
37	of a school facility or facilities resulting in a sudden and unanticipated decline in
38	districtwide capacity;
39	5. The standards of service set by school districts in similar types of
40	communities. While community differences will be allowed, the standard established by

41	the school district should be reasonably consistent with the standards set by other school
42	districts in communities of similar socioeconomic profile; ((and))
43	6. The standards identified by the state concerning the ratios of certificated
44	instructional staff to students; and
45	7. The potential impacts of the proposed impact fee on housing production
46	within the school district.
47	G. In the event that the school district's standard of service reveals a deficiency in
48	its current facilities, the committee shall review the school district's capital facilities plan
49	to determine whether the school district has identified all sources of funding necessary to
50	achieve the standard of service.
51	H. The school district in developing the financing plan component of the capital
52	facilities plan shall plan on a six-year horizon and shall document that it took the
53	following steps:
54	1. Establish a six-year financing plan, and propose the necessary bond issues
55	and levies required by and consistent with that plan and as approved by the school board
56	and consistent with RCW 28A.53.020, 84.52.052 and 84.52.056, as amended; and
57	2. Apply to the state for funding, and comply with the state requirement for
58	eligibility to the best of the school district's ability.
59	I. The committee may request that a school district review and resubmit its
60	capital facilities plan, establish a different standard of service, or review its capacity for
61	accommodating new students, or any combination thereof, under any of the following
62	circumstances:

63	1. The standard of service established by the school district is not reasonable in
64	light of the factors in subsection F. of this section;
65	2. The committee finds that the school district's standard of service cannot

- 2. The committee finds that the school district's standard of service cannot reasonably be achieved in light of the secured financial commitments and the historic levels of support in the school district; or
 - 3. Any other basis that is consistent with this section.

- J. If a school district fails to submit its capital facilities plan for review by the committee, King County shall assume the school district has adequate capacity to accommodate growth for the following six years.
- K. The chair of the committee shall document the outcome of the committee meeting each school district's capital facility plan and associated proposed impact fees in a report. The report shall include analysis consistent with subsections F. through J. of this section, and including the analysis by each school district's capital facility plan of potential impact of the proposed impact fee on housing production within the school district. The chair of the committee shall submit copies of its report to the director, hearing examiner, and school districts and shall post the report on the county's website.
- L. In accordance with K.C.C. 20.18.060 and 20.18.070 and based on committee input, the chair of the committee shall recommend to the executive, and the executive shall transmit to the council, a proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment adopting the school district's capital facilities plan as part of the Comprehensive Plan, for any plan that the committee concludes accurately reflects the school district's facilities status. The transmittal shall include the report required by subsection K. of this section.

M. In the event that after reviewing a school district's capital facilities plan and
other documents, the committee is unable to recommend adoption of the school district's
capital facilities plan, the chair of the committee shall submit a statement to the council,
director, hearing examiner, and school district stating the committee's findings. The
committee shall then recommend to the executive, and the executive shall transmit to the
council, consistent with the school capital facility plan timelines established in K.C.C.
20.18.060 and 20.18.070, either proposed amendments to the land use element of the
King County Comprehensive Plan or proposed amendments to the development
regulations implementing the plan, or both, to more closely conform county land use
plans and school district capital facilities plans, including, but not limited to, requiring
mandatory phasing of plats or multiunit development located within the school district's
boundary."

Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references accordingly.

On page 16, after line 271, insert:

"SECTION 9. The school technical review committee, in consultation with the school districts, shall develop, implement, and modify as necessary, the criteria for evaluation of the impacts of school impact fees on housing production that is included in this ordinance. The evaluation could model feasibility of projects of various types based on various school impact fee amounts."

108	Renumber the remaining sections consecutively and correct any internal references
109	accordingly.
110	
111	EFFECT prepared by E. Auzins:
112	• Adds a staff person from the housing, homelessness, and community
113	development division to the school technical review committee.
114	• Corrects an error in the description of council staff position.
115	• Requires the school district to analyze the impact of a proposed school impact
116	fee on housing production in the school district, and requires the report to the
117	Council that accompanies each school impact fee ordinance to evaluate the
118	district's analysis. Requires the school technical review committee to establish
119	criteria for this evaluation.